

U.S. Department of Commerce State and Local Implementation Grant Program Close Out Report			2. Award or Grant Number:	32-10-513-32
			4. EIN:	88-6000022
1. Recipient Name	State of Nevada, Dept of Public Safety, Division of Emergency Management & Homeland Security			6. Report Date (MM/DD/YYYY): 5/23/2018
3. Street Address	2478 Fairview Drive.			7. Reporting Period End Date: (MM/DD/YYYY) 2/28/2018
5. City, State, Zip Code	Carson City, Nevada 89701			
10a. Project/Grant Period				
Start Date: (MM/DD/YYYY)	8/1/2013	10b. End Date: (MM/DD/YYYY)	2/28/2018	
Part A: Metrics - Final PPR Milestone Data (cumulative through the last quarter)				
	Project Type (Capacity Building, SCIP Update,	Project Deliverable Quantity (Number & Indicator Description)	Description of Milestone Category	
1	Stakeholders Engaged	1540	Actual number of individuals reached via stakeholder meetings during the period of performance	
2	Individuals Sent to Broadband Conferences	13	Actual number of individuals who were sent to third-party broadband conferences using SLIGP grant funds during the period of performance	
3	Staff Hired (Full-Time Equivalent)(FTE)	1.8	Actual number of state personnel FTEs who began supporting SLIGP activities during the period of performance (may be a decimal)	
4	Contracts Executed	3	Actual number of contracts executed during the period of performance	
5	Governance Meetings	16	Actual number of governance, subcommittee, or working group meetings held during the period of performance	
6	Education and Outreach Materials Distributed	8880	Actual volume of materials distributed (inclusive of paper and electronic materials) plus hits to any website or social media account supported by SLIGP during the period of performance	
7	Subrecipient Agreements Executed	0	Actual number of agreements executed during the period of performance	
8	Phase 2 - Coverage	Complete Dataset Submitted to FirstNet	Please choose the option that best describes the data you provided to FirstNet in each category during the period of performance: • Not Complete • Partial Dataset Submitted to FirstNet • Complete Dataset Submitted to FirstNet	
9	Phase 2 – Users and Their Operational Areas	Complete Dataset Submitted to FirstNet		
10	Phase 2 – Capacity Planning	Complete Dataset Submitted to FirstNet		
11	Phase 2 – Current Providers/Procurement	Complete Dataset Submitted to FirstNet		
12	Phase 2 – State Plan Decision	Complete Dataset Submitted to FirstNet		
Part B: Narrative				
Milestone Data Narrative: Please Describe in detail the types of milestone activities your SLIGP grant funded (Please reference each project type you engaged in. Example: Governance Meetings, Stakeholders Engaged)				
<p>Throughout the performance period of the SLIGP grant Nevada was able to hold 13 governance meetings and attended LEPC, NV Association of Counties, Fire Chiefs Association, Sheriffs and Chiefs Association, and other first responder group meetings. A governance structure, the Nevada Public Safety Communications Committee (NPSCC), was formed via an Executive Order from the Governor on January 8, 2014. A number of webinars were provided to stakeholders for outreach, education, and data collection. Through this outreach Nevada was able to engage over 1500 stakeholders. Staff was able to travel and attend first responder meetings across the state to provide outreach and attend SPOC meetings that were held by FirstNet. Nevada was able to meet all data collection deadlines and submitted complete datasets to FirstNet. Through data collection Nevada was able to gain representation for 102 disciplines and received a total of 82 data collection surveys.</p>				
Please describe in detail any SLIGP program priority areas (education and outreach, governance, etc.) that you plan to continue beyond the SLIGP period of performance.				
The Governance Board and meetings will continue beyond the SLIGP performance period. Nevada will continue education and outreach to stakeholders as appropriate through the governance meetings, internal mailing lists, and other first responder meetings throughout the state.				

Data collection narrative: Please describe in detail the status of your SLIGP funded data collection activities.

All data collection activities were completed within the SLIGP performance period and submitted to FirstNet.

Please describe in detail any data collection activities you plan to continue beyond the SLIGP period of performance.

No plans at this time.

Lessons Learned: Please share any lessons learned or best practices that your organization implemented during your SLIGP project.

Through our outreach meetings and webinars Nevada was able to engage stakeholders, increasing participation in data collection activities. Additionally, we relied heavily on personal contact and discussions to increase awareness and formed

lasting relationships with stakeholders.

Part C: Staffing

Staffing Table - Please provide a summary of all positions funded by SLIGP.

Name	FTE%	Project(s) Assigned	Change
Chief, Emergency Management/SPOC	10%	Single Point of Contact	No Change
Emergency Management Program Manager	10%	Grants Manager	No Change
Grants and Projects Supervisor	10%	Supervisor of SLIGP Grant Coordinator	No Change

Part D: Contracts and Funding

Subcontracts Table – Include all subcontractors engaged during the period of performance. The totals from this table must equal the "Subcontracts Total" in your Budget Worksheet

Name	Subcontract Purpose	Type (Vendor/Subrec.)	RFP/RFQ Issued (Y/N)	Total Federal Funds Allocated	Total Matching Funds Allocated
SWIC	SWIC Duties	Good of the State Contract	N	\$236,719.00	\$0.00
Grant Coordinator	Coordinate Grant	Good of the State Contract	N	\$233,233.00	\$0.00
SLIGP Project Manager/Outreach	Manage SLIGP Programmatic	Contract	Y	\$662,730.00	\$0.00

Budget Worksheet

Columns 2, 3 and 4 must match your project budget for the entire award and your final SF 424A. Columns 5, 6, and 7 should list your final budget figures, cumulative through the last quarter

Project Budget Element (1)	Federal Funds Awarded (2)	Approved Matching Funds (3)	Total Budget (4)	Final Federal Funds Expended (5)	Final Approved Matching Funds Expended (6)	Final Total funds Expended (7)
a. Personnel Salaries	\$109,272.90	\$241,875.00	\$351,147.90	\$145,348.00	\$219,904.00	\$365,252.00
b. Personnel Fringe Benefits	\$43,763.50	\$107,640.00	\$151,403.50	\$38,663.00	\$68,720.00	\$107,383.00
c. Travel	\$88,620.00	\$0.00	\$88,620.00	\$51,283.00	\$0.00	\$51,283.00
d. Equipment	\$0.00	\$0.00	\$0.00	\$0.00	\$0.00	\$0.00
e. Materials/Supplies	\$28,007.15	\$0.00	\$28,007.15	\$4,026.00	\$0.00	\$4,026.00
f. Subcontracts Total	\$1,643,677.95	\$0.00	\$1,643,677.95	\$1,132,682.00	\$0.00	\$1,132,682.00
g. Other	\$59,324.50	\$160,101.00	\$219,425.50	\$2,397.00	\$54,976.00	\$57,373.00
Indirect	\$0.00	\$0.00	\$0.00	\$0.00	\$0.00	\$0.00
h. Total Costs	\$1,972,666.00	\$509,616.00	\$2,482,282.00	\$1,374,399.00	\$343,600.00	\$1,717,999.00
i. % of Total	79%	21%	100%	80%	20%	100%

Part E: Additional Questions: Please select the option (Strongly Disagree, Disagree, Neutral, Agree, Somewhat Agree, Strongly Agree) that best suits your answer.			
Overall, were SLIGP funds helpful in preparing for FirstNet?	Agree	What was most helpful? What challenges did you encounter?	<i>The ability to host education and outreach meetings with stakeholders was incredibly helpful to prepare our stakeholders for FirstNet. Additionally, being able to send individuals to conferences or SPOC meetings was helpful in gaining valuable information on FirstNet.</i>
Were SLIGP funds helpful in planning for your FirstNet consultation?	Agree	What was most helpful? What challenges did you encounter?	<i>Nevada was able to use SLIGP funds to plan and hold a successful all-day consultation meeting with 77 stakeholders in attendance. We were able to arrange travel for governance board members in the South so they could participate in person rather than virtually.</i>
Were SLIGP funds helpful in informing your stakeholders about FirstNet?	Agree	What was most helpful? What challenges did you encounter?	<i>The ability to host outreach meetings and webinars to educate stakeholders on FirstNet was infinitely beneficial. However, with less information to share at some points it was difficult to maintain interest levels among stakeholders.</i>
Were SLIGP funds helpful in developing a governance structure for broadband in your state?	Agree	What was most helpful? What challenges did you encounter?	<i>Nevada developed a governance board, the NPSCC, through Executive Order by the Governor.</i>
Were SLIGP funds helpful in preparing your staff for FirstNet activities in your state (e.g. attending broadband conferences, participating in training, purchasing software, procuring contract support etc.)?	Agree	What was most helpful? What challenges did you encounter?	<i>Nevada hired contract staff to assist with SLIGP programmatic and grant management. In addition to this position, Nevada was able to hire a consultancy firm to assist with and provide support with website development, outreach, and administration. Staff was able to attend the necessary SPOC meetings and conferences that were held with regard to FirstNet.</i>
Were SLIGP funds helpful in updating your Statewide Communications Interoperability Plan?	Agree	What was most helpful? What challenges did you encounter?	<i>Nevada was able to update this plan, and it was finalized in late 2016.</i>
Were SLIGP funds helpful in preparing for your review of the FirstNet developed State Plan?	Agree	What was most helpful? What challenges did you encounter?	<i>Through the consultancy firm that Nevada hired a coverage and capacity study was done to outline the needs for Nevada and provide a benchmark to evaluate the State Plan. Additionally, a plan and process for reviewing the State Plan was developed and provided to stakeholders. This was invaluable to Nevada as during the draft release and review period we were recovering from two declared disasters and had a SWIC vacancy.</i>
Were SLIGP funds helpful in conducting FirstNet determined data collection?	Agree	What was most helpful? What challenges did you encounter?	<i>Nevada was able to hire a consultancy firm to assist with the data collection activities with SLIGP funds. With this firm and the Mobile Data Survey Tool we were able to get stakeholder participation through in-person meetings and webinars. All FirstNet deadlines were met. Initially, we did have challenges with stakeholder participation.</i>

Part F: Certification: I certify to the best of my knowledge and belief that this report is correct and complete for performance of activities for the purpose(s) set forth in the award documents.			
Typed or printed name and title of Authorized Certifying Official:		Telephone (area code, number, and extension)	775-687-0321
Kelli Anderson, Emergency Management Programs Manager		Email Address:	kanderson@dps.state.nv.us
Signature of Authorized Certifying Official:		Date:	6/4/2018
			